

From: [Donald Williams](#)
To: R6_DWH_Info@epa.gov
Subject:
Date: 05/08/2010 09:36 AM
Attachments: [Draft_Dispersed_Plume_Monitoring_Plan.doc](#)
[ATT9SG8G.doc](#)
[DraftSamplingOverview-PD-QCmnts5310.doc](#)
[draft_Dispersed_Plume_Monitoring_Plan_FRT_Comments.doc](#)
[Deepwater_Horizon_R6Air_5.2.10.doc](#)

Thanks,

Don Williams
Deputy Associate Director
Superfund Remedial Branch
EPA Region 6
(214) 665-2197

----- Forwarded by D= onald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Richard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Rub= en
To: Casso/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mark
Sather/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Maria Martinez/R6/USEPA=
/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 11:06 AM
Subject: Fw: air plan

Can you complete your review by 3 pm= ?

Rich Mayer, P.G.
Sr. Project Engineer
US EPA
Federal Facilities Section (6PD-F)
214-665-7442
Fax - 214-665-7263

----- Forwarded by R= ichard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US on 05/03/2010 10:59 AM -----

air plan<= /table>

Byrum,
Alex to: Richard 05/03/2010
<= Mayer 10:58 AM
/font>

Alex J. Byrum
Project Scientist
Weston Solutions, Inc.
14160 Dallas Parkway, Suite 850
Dallas, Texas 75254
(469) 374-7760 work
(214) 868-3966 cell

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permis= sion of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.

----- Forwarded by D= onald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US
To: Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 11:09 AM
Subject: Re: QASP comments

Thanks.

Rich Mayer, P.G.
Sr. Project Engineer
US EPA
Federal Facilities Section (6PD-F)
214-665-7442
Fax - 214-665-7263

**Re: QASP
comments**
[Link](#)

Donald Williams to: Richard 05/03/2010
Mayer 11:01 AM
<= /b>

After 4:00 pm. I have meetings from 1:00 until 4:00

Thanks,

Don Williams
Deputy Associate Director
Superfund Remedial Branch
EPA Region 6
(214) 665-2197

From: Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US
To: Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 10:44 AM
Subject: QASP comments

Will you be available for a meeting on the plans this afternoon.

Rich Mayer, P.G.
Sr. Project Engineer
US EPA
Federal Facilities Section (6PD-F)
214-665-7442
Fax - 214-665-7263

----- Forwarded by D= onald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Chris Petersen/R6/USEPA/US

Claudia Hubbard/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Peg= gy Foster/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Sam Coleman/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mary Kemp/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Pam Phillips/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Rita Ware/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Walt Helmick/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Ronnie Crossland/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Chris Peter= sen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Timber Carter/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Nelson Smith/R6= /USEPA/US@EPA, Bob Goodfellow/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Bryant Smalley/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Misty Ward/= R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Elizabeth Rogers/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Samuel Tates/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Craig Carro= ll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, James Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jhana Enders/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, John Martin/R6/= USEPA/US@EPA, Karen McCormick/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mike McAteer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Nancy Jones/= R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Nicolas Brescia/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Steve Mason/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, William Rhot= nberry/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Chris Perry/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Christopher Ruhl/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Donaldp Smith/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen McCormick/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Paige Delgado/R6/US= EPA/US@EPA, Roberto Bernier/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Adam Adams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Althea Foster/= R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Brandi Todd/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Charles Fisher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Eric Delgado/R= 6/USEPA/US@EPA, Gary Moore/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Greg Fife/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon Rinehart/R6/USEP= A/US@EPA, Mark Hayes/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Rita Engblom/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Susan Webster/R6/= USEPA/US@EPA, Warren Zehner/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Carlos Sanchez/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Charles Faultry/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, John Meyer/R6/USE= PA/US@EPA, Mary Simmons/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Stephanie Delgado/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Ursula Lennox/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Vincent Malott/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Camille Hueni/R6/US= EPA/US@EPA, Charles Fisher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Chris Villarreal/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, David Abshire/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Gary Baumgarten/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Gary Miller/R6/U= SEPA/US@EPA, Philip Allen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Rafael Casanova/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Raji Josiam/= R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Robert Sullivan/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Ruben Moya/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Shawn Ghose/R6= /USEPA/US@EPA, Stephen Tzhone/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Bart Canellas/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Bret Kendrick= k/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Buddy Parr/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Katrina Coltrain/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Stankos= ky/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark Purcell/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Hebert/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Torre= s/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Petra Sanchez/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Rosemary McGinn/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Sairam Appaji/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Valmichael Leos/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Deborah Greenwell/= R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Sing Chia/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Wren Stenger/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Chuck Talton/R6/US= EPA/US@EPA, Courtney Kudla/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Cynthia Brown/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Doretha Christian/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jamie Bradsher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelvin Spencer/R= 6/USEPA/US@EPA, Kevin Shade/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Lance Nixon/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Lawrence Andrews/= R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Linda Brewer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Lydia Johnson/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Patrice Miller= /R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Robert Werner/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Stephen Capuyan/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Terrell Burrell/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Anna Milburn/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Brenda Cook/R6/USEPA= /US@EPA, Bret Kendrick/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Dipanjana Bhattacharya/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Ghassan Khoury/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, John Meyer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon Rauscher/R6= /USEPA/US@EPA, Kenneth Shewmake/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, LaDonna Turner/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Philip Ofosu/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Philip Turner/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Susan Roddy/R6/USEPA/= US@EPA, Ana Esquivel/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Betty Williamson/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Connie Suttice/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Evelyn Clemmons/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Monica Forbes/R6/= USEPA/US@EPA, Amber Perry/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Bijan Taheri/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Camisha Scott/R6= /USEPA/US@EPA, Casey Luckett/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, David Reel/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Diana Byrd/R6/US= EPA/US@EPA, Hart Rockwell/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen Peycke/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Lisa Ruhl/R6/U= SEPA/US@EPA, Monica Smith/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Samuel Reynolds/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Tony Roberso= n/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Carlene Chambers/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Helen Newman/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Henry Thomp= son/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jo Gee/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen Hartis/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathleen Robinson/R6/= USEPA/US@EPA, Kathy Gibson/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, LaMonica Collins/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Lavern Pratt/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Linda Carter/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Rena McClurg/R6/USEPA/= US@EPA, Robert Wynne/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Vena Webb/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Will LaBombard/R6/= USEPA/US@EPA, Alice Hollier/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Charlene Sloan/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, David Parris= h/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Derek Ragon/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Diana Ortiz/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Dwayne Patrick/R6= /USEPA/US@EPA, Ida Gilleland/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Nancy Yarberry/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Phil Lawrenc= e/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Beverly Negri/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Bill Little/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, David Gold/R6/U= SEPA/US@EPA, Donn Walters/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Janetta Coats/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jason McKinney= /R6/USEPA/US@EPA, LaNel Birdwell/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Phyllis Hoey/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Stephen Harper/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Zana Halliday/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

To:

Date: 05/03/2010 11:19 AM
Vendor/Citizen Inquiries

FYI - See weblink below

J. Chris Petersen
Deputy Associate Director
Prevention and Response Branch (6SF-P)
U.S. EPA Region 6
214.665.3167 office
214.665.7447 fax

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
To: Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick/R6/=USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Mark

Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/03/2010 11:56 AM

Subject: Fw: First Cut Summary

See below regarding potential extrapolation of tox data to deep-sea environments...

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

----- Forwarded by Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US on 05/03/2010 11:52 AM -----

Re:
Fw:
First
Cut
Summary
[Link](#)

Rick
Greene to: Gregory 05/03/2010
<= Wilson 11:49 AM
/font>

Albert
Venosa,
Cynthia =
Sonich-
Mullin,
Daniel
Heggem,
Cc: Mace
Barron,
Michael
Murrell,
Richard
Devereux,
Terry
Burton=

I have a couple staff looking into it.

Dr. Richard M. Greene
Acting Director, Gulf Ecology Division
National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory
US EPA, Office of Research and Development
1 Sabine Island Dr., Gulf Breeze, FL 32561-5299
TEL: 850-934-2497
FAX: 850-934-2401

From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US

To: Rick Greene/GB/USEPA/US@EPA

Albert Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Cynthia Sonich-Mullin/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Daniel
Cc: Heggem/LV/USEPA/US@EPA, Mace Barron/GB/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Murrell@EPA, Richard
Devereux@EPA, Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/03/2010 07:44 AM

Re: Fw: First Cut Summary

Thanks Rick -

Is there any way to extrapolate known tox data to the deep sea environment to make some educated guesses? I know it is a stretch. We should also think about what the alternative consequences both in the deep sea and towards/including the shore line if we would not inject dispersant at the source zone.

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Rick Greene/GB/USEPA/US
To: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Albert Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Mace Barron/GB/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Devereux@EPA, Daniel Heggem/LV/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Murrell@EPA, Cynthia Sonich-Mullin/D= C/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/02/2010 01:55 PM
Re: Fw: First Cut Summary

Here are some edits to the document. One of my staff is trying to find the depth of the deep ocean pycnocline - I'll get back to you on this. I think the issue of exposure and effects on deep ocean fauna will be difficult to assess quantitatively. By in large, we can't bring these things into the lab to do tox tests. I suppose one could video some of the habitats (deep corals reefs, etc).

[attachment "Dispersing Oil in Deepwater Ecosystems=5FGreene edits.doc" deleted by Gregory Wilson/DC/= USEPA/US]

Dr. Richard M. Greene
Acting Director, Gulf Ecology Division
National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory
US EPA, Office of Research and Development
1 Sabine Island Dr., Gulf Breeze, FL 32561-5299
TEL: 850-934-2497
FAX: 850-934-2401

From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US
Albert Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Rick Greene/GB/USEPA/US@EPA,
To: Mace Barron/GB/USEPA/US@EPA,
Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/02/2010 12:14 PM
Subject: Fw: First Cut Summary

All -

Can everybody provide comments as appropriate to me ASAP, and I will compile them and send them back to NOAA on EPA's behalf? The ecological exposure to subsurface sea life to dispersed oil is a top priority that we need to understand. Of high concern is how are we going to measure the exposure and what criteria are going to be used to evaluate the net environmental benefit. Thought? Please respond ASAP.

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

----- Forwarded by G= Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US on 05/02/2010 11:19 AM -----

From: "alan.mearns" <Alan.Mearns@noaa.gov>
"Kate.Clark" <Kate.Clark@noaa.gov>, James.Kendall@mms.gov, Gregory.Boland@mms.gov, Pasquale.Roscigno@mms.gov, James.Sinclair@mms.gov, Margaret.Metcalf@mms.gov, Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Albert Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Rick Greene/GB/USEPA/US@EPA, Mace Barron/GB/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Daniel.Leedy@mms.gov, John Tarpley <john.tarpley@noaa.gov>, Charlie Henry <Charlie.Henry@noaa.gov>
To: Nicolle R Rutherford <Nicolle.R.Rutherford@noaa.gov>, Steve Lehmann <Steve.Lehmann@noaa.gov>, Bonnie Ponwith <Bonnie.Ponwith@noaa.gov>, Karen Kohanowich <Karen.Kohanowich@noaa.gov>, Doug Helton <Doug.Helton@noaa.gov>, William Conner <William.Conner@noaa.gov>
Cc:
Date: 05/01/2010 10:12 PM
Subject: Re: First Cut Summary

All

Nicolle Rutherford and I took a first crack at a draft statement for your review and comment. It is for review and comment only, not distribution.

We are also sending this for comment to Bonnie Ponwith and Karen Kohanowich who have provided a lot of excellent resource information from NOAA Fisheries and OAR and have significant responsibilities for the deep fauna.

Keep in mind we are in the mode of developing 2-pagers for wide consumption.

You will also note I took the liberty, at the end, of suggesting a net environmental benefit, based on our discussion of the alternative and ongoing situation.

We need some questions answered or qualified:

- The natural seep oil discharge in the Gulf is 10 million gallons per=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F?
- Is there a map of seeps? Can major and minor seeps in the area be identified?
- At what depth (or is it seasonal?) do the "two seas" meet. I assume this is the permanent pycnocline, but what depth range do you experts consider?

We will need to keep this moving.

John Tarpley, thanks for chairing the call and keeping us moving. And thanks for the list Kate.

Thanks so much!

Alan Mearns and Nicolle Rutherford

Alan J. Mearns, PhD
Senior Staff Scientist
Emergency Response Division
Office of Response and Restoration
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
7600 Sand Point Way NE
Seattle, WA 98115

Office: (206) 526-6336
Cell: (206) 719-7442
Email: alan.mearns@noaa.gov

Please see our website at: <http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/>

The contents of this message are mine personally and do not necessarily reflect any position of NOAA.

"I want the crab count, and I want it NOW!" Capt. Sig Larsen,
Northwestern, Dangerous Catch.

Kate.Clark wrote:
> AKA, the SSDIPECW,
>
> Thanks to everyone for an engaging and productive call today. The
> emails of everyone who participated are included in this email. Since
> the emails all contain full names and agency affiliations, I will skip
> listing them in the text.
>
> Attached, for background only, is a Subsurface Injection of Surface
> Oil Dispersant test plan.
>
> Please feel free to cc: the workgroup with any additional information
> that may be pertinent.
>
> Thank you,
> Kate Clark
> (c) 301-785-7802
>
>

[attachment "Dispersing Oil in Deepwater Ecosystems.doc" deleted by Rick
Greene/GB/USEPA/US]

----- Forwarded by D= onald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: Harry Allen/ERT/R=
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 12:26 PM
Known on-board capabilities
Subject: for Moni= toring Plan
Response

Hi folks,

It now seems clear: here are the capabilities for the potential data collectio= n:

Thermosalinograph
Conductivity/Temperature/Depth instrument (CTD)
fluorometer
Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (measures current across a control volume in the water column)
Side scan sonar
Video imaging capability
Scientific Computer System

Anything outside that will need to be explicitly stated. I will be in touch.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

----- Forwarded by Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Harry Allen/ERT/R2/USEPA/US
To: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Albert Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, James Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen McCormick/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc:
Date: 05/03/2010 01:00 PM
Re: We have an OK to respond to BP's Plan, versus writing a new document. No further message.

I added comments to the draft plan. <= /font>

Oops. I forgot to agree with Nick: &= nbsp;NO SINKING.

Harry

Harry L. Allen, Ph.D.
US EPA/ERT
MS-101, Bldg. 18
2890 Woodbridge Ave.
Edison, NJ 08837

Phone 732 321-6747
Fax 732 321-6724
Cell 609 865-4422

----- Forwarded by Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Nick Nichols/DC/USEPA/US
To: Harry Allen/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, James Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen McCormick/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc:
Date: 05/03/2010 01:09 PM
Re: We have an OK to respond to BP's Plan, versus writing a new document. No further message.

Any word on getting samples of the oil from the surface slicks at the site?

Thought is we could begin a program (Gulf Breeze/LSU?) to use the

swirling/baffled flasks on most other dispersants on the Schedule.
First use a "bucket test" to see if any are effective in breaking the emulsion, warranting further efficacy testing.

BP apparently is open to using other products on the Schedule (said they were running out but retracted that statement)
but don't know their status ?<= /font>

William "Nick" Nichols &nb= sp;
OEM Regulatory and Policy Development Division
Washington D.C. 20460
202-564-1970

From: Harry Allen/ERT/R2/USEPA/US
To: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Albert Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, James Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen McCormick= /R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 02:00 PM
Re: We have an OK to respond to BP's Plan, versus writing a new document. No further message.

I added comments to the draft plan.<= /font>

Oops. I forgot to agree with Nick: &= nbsp;NO SINKING.

[attachment "draft Dispersed Petroleum Monitoring Plan=5FERT Comments.doc" deleted by Nick Nichols/DC/USEPA/US@EPA]

Harry

Harry L. Allen, Ph.D.
US EPA/ERT
MS-101, Bldg. 18
2890 Woodbridge Ave.
Edison, NJ 08837

Phone 732 321-6747
Fax 732 321-6724
Cell 609 865-4422

----- Forwarded by Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US
To: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: Harry Allen/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, James Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen McCormick= /R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/03/2010 01:37 PM
Re: Known on-board
Subject: capabilities for Monitoring
Plan Response

Does BP plan to do an ecosystem impact analysis? if so what is their plan?

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
To: Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: Harry Allen/ERT/R=
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 01:26 PM
Known on-board capabilities
Subject: for Monitoring Plan
Response

Hi folks,

It now seems clear: here are the capabilities for the potential data collection:

Thermosalinograph
Conductivity/Temperature/Depth instrument (CTD)
fluorometer
Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (measures current across a control volume in the water column)
Side scan sonar
Video imaging capability
Scientific Computer System

Anything outside that will need to be explicitly stated. I will be in touch.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

----- Forwarded by Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on
05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Maria Martinez/R6/USEPA/US
To: Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mark
Sather/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: Ruben
Casso/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jim Afghani/R6/USEPA/U=
S@EPA, Bill
Nally/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 01:46 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: air plan

Rich,

The attached are our comments on the plan.

Maria

From: Richard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Rub= en
To: Casso/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mark
Sather/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Maria Martinez/R6/USEPA=
/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 11:06 AM
Subject: Fw: air plan

Can you complete your review by 3 pm= ?

Rich Mayer, P.G.
Sr. Project Engineer
US EPA
Federal Facilities Section (6PD-F)
214-665-7442
Fax - 214-665-7263
----- Forwarded by R= ichard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US on
05/03/2010 10:59 AM -----

air plan<= /table>

Byrum,

Alex

<=

/font>

to: Richard 05/03/2010
Mayer 10:58 AM

Alex J. Byrum
Project Scientist
Weston Solutions, Inc.
14160 Dallas Parkway, Suite 850
Dallas, Texas 75254

(469) 374-7760 work
(214) 868-3966 cell

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you. [attachment "Deepwater Horizon=5FR6= Air=5F5.2.10.doc" deleted by Maria Martinez/R6/USEPA/US]

----- Forwarded by Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Richard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US
Laura
Hunt/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
To: Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Nancy
Jones/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Matt Hubner/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
kettlerk@westonsolutions.com
Date: 05/03/2010 01:54 PM
Discuss comments on the
Subject: QASP for water and
sediment

How about a meeting at 2:15 to discuss comments on the QASP for water and sediment. Sorry for the short notice. Please meet in 8th floor in REOC. Matt, the 1st door code is 415 and the 2nd door code is 2350.

Rich Mayer, P.G.
Sr. Project Engineer
US EPA
Federal Facilities Section (6PD-F)
214-665-7442
Fax - 214-665-7263

----- Forwarded by Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US
To: Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 02:06 PM
Re: Discuss comments on
Subject: the QASP for water and
sediment

Ok. I've got your comments printed out in case you can not make it.

Rich Mayer, P.G.
Sr. Project Engineer
US EPA
Federal Facilities Section (6PD-F)
214-665-7442

Fax - 214-665-7263

**Re: Discuss
comments= on
the QASP for
water and
sedimentDonald
Williams <=
/b>**

to: Richard 05/03/2010
Mayer 02:04 PM

Jon
Rauscher,
kettlerk,=
Laura
Cc: Hunt,
Matt
Hubner,
Nancy
Jones

I'll get there as soon as my confere= nce call (that just started) ends.

Thanks,

Don Williams
Deputy Associate Director
Superfund Remedial Branch
EPA Region 6
(214) 665-2197

From: Richard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US
Laura
Hunt/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon
Raus=
cher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
To: Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Nancy
Jones/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Matt Hubner/R=
6/USEPA/US@EPA,
kettlerk@westonsolutions.com
Date: 05/03/2010 01:54 PM
Discuss comments on the
Subject: QASP for wat= er and
sediment

How about a meeting at 2:15 to discu= ss comments on the QASP for water and sediment. Sorry for the short notice. Please meet in 8th floor in REOC. Matt, the 1st door code is 415 and the 2nd door code is 2350.

Rich Mayer, P.G.
Sr. Project Engineer
US EPA
Federal Facilities Section (6PD-F)
214-665-7442

Fax - 214-665-7263

----- Forwarded by D=onald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on
05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
To: Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R=
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Cc: Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 02:21 PM
Subject: Info dump as of now
regarding response to "BP
monitoring plan"

Hello all,

Here is what we have regarding the BP monitoring Plan.

1) A fluorometer to delineate horizontal and vertical extents of the subsurface plume.
The assumption is that the fluorometer will be towed in an array below the ocean surface. I would like to have clarification as to whether the fluorometer will be used, and if it is, how it will be implemented (towed behind, towed via aircraft, etc.). I would prefer the fluorometer for plume delineation to using water sample for that purpose; it should provide much richer data. Harry says that the Gulf Strike team has one if needed. Perhaps a bubble turbidimeter can also be towed, to get bubble size?

2) Water column sampling collection capabilities via a rosette sampler.

Harry Allen has proposed a testing regime to determine dispersion effectiveness such as % oil, % water, % dispersant. To prove the model and predict buoyancy we would also need bubble size, density (or specific gravity) along the thermal gradient of the water column, and other Stoke's law factors like kinematic viscosity. Harry and Al are also proposing fluorometric and/or spectrophotometric profiles of the dispersed oil, to be used later during operations and also in phase 2. As I understand, Al Venosa is arranging with Environment Canada to take 3 ml aliquots from each sample for this purpose. Certainly, BP should provide the sample.

Finally, although it may not be possible, I would like to ask for more sampling bottles per run. 4 samples is not enough to make statistical analyses.

3) Conductivity, temperature, and depth measuring equipment
Needed to determine water column stratification and to associate with the samples.

4) Dissolved oxygen and turbidity sensors

DO sensors are needed (and critical) for determining potential negative impacts to undersea environment. He is concerned that biodegradation of the submerged oil may create a problem with oxygen depletion. He reminds me that at 3 mg oxygen per mg of oil plus an additional demand from the dispersant, surface reaeration could be unable to keep up with the BOD. Obviously, we do not want to create an anoxic cloud on the bottom of the Gulf, even more so since there is already an anoxic zone in the Gulf. Turbidity sensors can also be used to determine dispersant efficacy.

5) Current-profiling equipment

The ADCP units can determine current in the Gulf. Perhaps it can also be used to determine if the dispersant changes the flow once applied (i.e., slows or redirects the oil flow once entrained). That would affect any future modeling.

Additional issues:

a) Don Williams has highlighted the clear lack of Data Quality Objectives. There is no indication of what they are looking for in the samples, what numbers or qualitative information is expected, or if there are any "triggers" for future action or stand-down. For instance, we are waiting for sampling data from the previous test; there is no indication what is being sampled from that test except total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs). Obviously we will need much more than TPH data in the future.

b) Harry feels that for Phase 2, EPA will need a more thorough oil analysis to determine whether the dispersed plume is toxic to aquatic life. There are questions about whether the plume will be more toxic than the undispersed oil. And we need to know whether it will hang in the water column, to come in contact with the benthos as it approaches land. (Phase 1 may tell us this.)

c) Nick Nichols would like to see some surface efficacy and effects at the surface.

d) Greg has mentioned that there should be an ecosystem impact analysis.

Anything else???

That's it for now.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=
=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F

Hi folks,

It now seems clear: here are the capabilities for the potential data collection:

Thermosalinograph
Conductivity/Temperature/Depth instrument (CTD)
fluorometer
Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (measures current across a control volume in the water column)
Side scan sonar
Video imaging capability
Scientific Computer System

Anything outside that will need to be explicitly stated. I will be in touch.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov

(214) 665-7139

----- Forwarded by D=onald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on
05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US
To: Terry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: Harry Allen/ERT/R=
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 02:22 PM
Re: Known on-board
Subject: capabilities for Monitoring
Plan Response

Hi Terry - can you confirm this is the BP plan you sent us
this morning? After talking to Katie Clark at NOAA
("Kate.Clark" <Kate.Clark@noaa.gov>,) this looks like if it is
BP's plan they are using NOAA assests.

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
To: Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: Harry Allen/ERT/R=
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 01:26 PM
Known on-board capabilities
Subject: for Monitoring Plan
Response

Hi folks,

It now seems clear: here are the capabilities for the
potential data collection:

Thermosalinograph
Conductivity/Temperature/Depth instrument (CTD)
fluorometer
Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (measures current across
a control volume in the water column)
Side scan sonar
Video imaging capability
Scientific Computer System

Anything outside that will need to be explicitly stated. I will
be in touch.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

----- Forwarded by Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on
05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US
To: Terry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R6/
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Cc: Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick/
R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 02:29 PM
Re: Info dump as of now
Subject: regarding response to "BP
monitoring plan"

Thanks Terry. We are compiling Harry's comments into ours
and will send them to you so that we can get some answers
from BP. Does that sound like a plan? Call me if you need
to 202 564 1425.

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
To: Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory

Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R=
Cc: 2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 03:21 PM
Info dump as of now
Subject: regarding respon= se to "BP
monitoring plan"

Hello all,
Here is what we have regarding the BP monitoring Plan.

1) A fluorometer to delineate horizontal and vertical extents of the subsurface plume.
The assumption is that the fluorometer will be towed in an array below the ocean surface. I would like to have clarification as to whether the fluorometer will be used, and if it is, how it will be implemented (towed behind, towed via aircraft, etc.). I would prefer the fluorometer for plume delineation to using water sample for that purpose; it should provide much richer data. Harry says that the Gulf Strike team has one if needed. Perhaps a bubble turbidimeter can also be towed, to get bubble size?

2) Water column sampling collection capabilities via a rosette sampler.
Harry Allen has proposed a testing regime to determine dispersion effectiveness such as % oil, % water, % dispersant. To prove the model and predict buoyancy we would also need bubble size, density (or specific gravity) along the thermal gradient of the water column, and other Stoke's law factors like kinematic viscosity. Harry and Al are also proposing fluorometric and/or spectrophotometric profiles of the dispersed oil, to be used later during operations and also in phase 2. As I understand, Al Venosa is arranging with Environment Canada to take 3 ml aliquots from each sample for this purpose. Certainly; BP should provide the sample.
Finally, although it may not be possible, I would like to ask for more sampling bottles per run. 4 samples is not enough to make statistical analyses.

3) Conductivity, temperature, and depth measuring equipment
Needed to determine water column stratification and to associate with the samples.

4) Dissolved oxygen and turbidity sensors
DO sensors are needed (and critical) for determining potential negative impacts to undersea environment. Harry is concerned that biodegradation of the submerged oil may create a problem with oxygen depletion. He reminds me that at 3 mg oxygen per mg of oil plus an additional demand from the dispersant, surface reaeration could be unable to keep up with the BOD. Obviously, we do not want to create an anoxic cloud on the bottom of the Gulf, even more so since there is already an anoxic zone in the Gulf.
Turbidity sensors can also be used to determine dispersant efficacy.

5) Current-profiling equipment
The ADCP units can determine current in the Gulf. Perhaps it can also be used to determine if the dispersant changes the flow once applied (i.e., slows or redirects the oil flow

once entrained). That would affect any future modeling.

Additional issues:

a) Don Williams has highlighted the clear lack of Data Quality Objectives. There is no indication of what they are looking for in the samples, what numbers or qualitative information is expected, or if there are any "triggers" for future action or stand-down. For instance, we are waiting for sampling data from the previous test; there is no indication what is being sampled from that test except total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs). Obviously we will need much more than TPH data in the future.

b) Harry feels that for Phase 2, EPA will need a more thorough oil analysis to determine whether the dispersed plume is toxic to aquatic life. There are questions about whether the plume will be more toxic than the undispersed oil. And we need to know whether it will hang in the water column, to come in contact with the benthos as it approaches land. (Phase 1 may tell us this.)

c) Nick Nichols would like to see some surface efficacy and effects at the surface.

d) Greg has mentioned that there should be an ecosystem impact analysis.

Anything else???

That's it for now.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=
=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F=5F

Hi folks,

It now seems clear: here are the capabilities for the potential data collection:

Thermosalinograph
Conductivity/Temperature/Depth instrument (CTD)
fluorometer
Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (measures current across a control volume in the water column)
Side scan sonar
Video imaging capability
Scientific Computer System

Anything outside that will need to be explicitly stated. I will be in touch.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

----- Forwarded by Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on
05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
To: Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,

Cc: Harry Allen/ERT/R=2/USEPA/US@EPA, James Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen McCormick=/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 02:33 PM
Re: Known on-board
Subject: capabilities for Monitoring Plan Response

The BP plan this morning specifically mentioned Thermosalinograph, Conductivity/Temperature/Depth instrument (CTD), potentially a fluorometer, Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler ADCP, and Scientific Computer System. They have already used the side scan sonar and video imaging capability for the sampling test, and commented on the need for that equipment. I made an assumption that they were going to use Coast Guard assets since they matched up with the research vessel's capabilities. Perhaps that is not the case. At this point, that assumption is all I can go with if I am going to meet the deadline, unless I hear more soon. Let me know if you hear differently; thanks!=

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

Re: Known on-board capabilities for Monitoring Plan Response
[Link](#)

Gregory Wilson to: Terry Burton 05/03/2010 02:22 PM

Albert Venosa,
Craig Carroll,
Donald Williams,
Harry Allen,
Cc: James Staves, Jon Rauscher, Karen McCormick, Richard Mayer

Hi Terry - can you confirm this is the BP plan you sent us

this morning? After talking to Katie Clark at NOAA ("Kate.Clark" <Kate.Clark@noaa.gov>,) this looks like if it is BP's plan they are using NOAA assets.

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
To: Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: Harry Allen/ERT/R=
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 01:26 PM
Known on-board capabilities
Subject: for Monitoring Plan
Response

Hi folks,

It now seems clear: here are the capabilities for the potential data collection:

Thermosalinograph
Conductivity/Temperature/Depth instrument (CTD)
fluorometer
Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (measures current across a control volume in the water column)
Side scan sonar
Video imaging capability
Scientific Computer System

Anything outside that will need to be explicitly stated. I will be in touch.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

----- Forwarded by Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
To: Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
James

Cc: Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 03:18 PM
Subject: Fw: First Cut Summary

Initial tox estimates; what do you think?

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

----- Forwarded by Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US on
05/03/2010 03:16 PM -----

Re:
Fw:
First
Cut
Summary
[Link](#)

Rick
Greene to: Gregory 05/03/2010
<= Wilson 03:15 PM
/font>

Albert
Venosa,
Cynthia =
Sonich-
Mullin,
Daniel
Heggem,
Mace
Cc: Barron,
Michael
Murrell,
Richard
Devereux,
Terry
Burton,
Michael
Lewis

Here is some input from Mace and Mike Lewis (GED) -

The basis of ecotox and environmental regulation is that standard test species we do have data for are reasonable surrogates for other species. While there are huge uncertainties, and the absence of test data, I think at best they could use a 10X (species to species) or 100x (species + acute to chronic) safety factor to extrapolate in the absence of information.

The Corexit 9500 LC50 for common estuarine species is as follows:

Mysids: LC50 = 3D 20.9 mg/l (96h test) and 13.4 mg/l (7 d test). The chronic first effect levels on growth and fecundity

were 4.2 and 15.5 mg/l, respectively.

Silversides: LC50 = 3D 79.3 mg/l (96h= test) and 75.7 (7 d test). The first chronic effect levels were >100 mg/l.

Thus, mysids (a shrimp-like crustacean) were more sensitive and silversides (small fish).

If we apply a 10x safety factor to the mysid tox data, it comes out to about 1-2 mg/l (acute) and 0.4-1.6 (chronic=).

Dr. Richard M. Greene
Acting Director, Gulf Ecology Division
National Health and Environmental Effects Research
Laboratory
US EPA, Office of Research and Development
1 Sabine Island Dr., Gulf Breeze, FL 32561-5299
TEL: 850-934-2497
FAX: 850-934-2401

From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US
To: Rick Greene/GB/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Cynthia
Sonich-Mullin/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Daniel
Cc: Heggem/LV/USEPA/US@EPA, Mace Barron/G= B/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Murrell@EPA, Richard
Devereux@EPA, Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 07:44 AM
Re: Fw: First Cut Summary

Thanks Rick -

Is there any way to extrapolate known tox data to the deep sea environment to make some educated guesses? I know it is a stretch. We should also think about what the alternative consequences both in the deep sea and towards/including the shore line if we would not inject dispersant at the source zone.

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Rick Greene/GB/USEPA/US
To: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Mace
Barron/GB/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Cc: Devereux@EPA, Daniel
Heggem/LV/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael
Murrell@EPA, Cynthia Sonich-Mullin/D= C/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/02/2010 01:55 PM
Re: Fw: First Cut Summary

Here are some edits to the document. One of my staff is trying to find the depth of the deep ocean pycnocline - I'll get back

to you on this. I think the issue of exposure and effects on deep ocean fauna will be difficult to assess quantitatively. By in large, we can't bring these things into the lab to do tox tests. I suppose one could video some of the habitats (deep corals reefs, etc).

[attachment "Dispersing Oil in Deepwater Ecosystems=5FGreene edits.doc" deleted by Gregory Wilson/DC/= USEPA/US]

Dr. Richard M. Greene
Acting Director, Gulf Ecology Division
National Health and Environmental Effects
Research Laboratory
US EPA, Office of Research and
Development
1 Sabine Island Dr., Gulf Breeze, FL
32561-5299
TEL: 850-934-2497
FAX: 850-934-2401

From: Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Rick
To: Greene/GB/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mace
Barron/GB/USEPA/US@EPA,
Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/=US@EPA
Date: 05/02/2010 12:14 PM
Subject: Fw: First Cut Summary

All -

Can everybody provide comments as appropriate to me ASAP, and I will compile them and send them back to NOAA on EPA's behalf? The ecological exposure to subsurface sea life to dispersed oil is a top priority that we need to understand. Of high concern is how are we going to measure the exposure and what criteria are going to be used to evaluate the net environmental benefit. Thought? = Please respond ASAP.

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development
Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625
----- Forwarded by Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US on 05/02/2010
11:19 AM -----

From: "alan.mearns" <Alan.Mearns@noaa.gov>
"Kate.Clark" <Kate.Clark@noaa.gov>,
James.Kendall@mms.gov,
Gregory.Boland@mms.gov,
Pasquale.Roscigno@mms.gov,

James.Sinclair@mms.gov,
Margaret.Metcalf@mms.gov,
Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/U=
S@EPA, Albert

To: Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Rick
Greene/GB/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mace Barron/GB/=USEPA/US@EPA, Terry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Daniel.Leedy@mms.gov, John
Tarpley <john.t=arpley@noaa.gov>, Charlie
Henry
<Charlie.Henry@noaa.gov>
Nicolle R Rutherford
<Nicolle.R.R=utherford@noaa.gov>, Steve
Lehmann
<Steve.Lehmann@noaa.gov>,
Cc: Bonnie Ponwith <Bonnie.Pon=with@noaa.gov>, Karen
Kohanowich
<Karen.Kohanowich@noaa.gov>,
Doug Helton <Doug.He=Iton@noaa.gov>, William Conner
<William.Conner@noaa.gov>

Date: 05/01/2010 10:12 PM
Subject: Re: First Cut Summary

All

Nicolle Rutherford and I took a first crack at a draft statement for your review and comment. It is for review and comment only, not distribution.

We are also sending this for comment to Bonnie Ponwith and Karen Kohanowich who have provided a lot of excellent resource information from NOAA Fisheries and OAR and have significant responsibilities for the deep fauna.

Keep in mind we are in the mode of developing 2-pagers for wide consumption.

You will also note I took the liberty, at the end, of suggesting a net environmental benefit, based on our discussion of the alternative and ongoing situation.

We need some questions answered or qualified:

- The natural seep oil discharge in the Gulf is 10 million gallons per=5F=5F=5F=5F?
- Is there a map of seeps? Can major and minor seeps in the area be identified?
- At what depth (or is it seasonal?) do the "two seas" meet. I assume this is the permanent pycnocline, but what depth range do you experts consider?

We will need to keep this moving.

John Tarpley, thanks for chairing the call and keeping us moving. And thanks for the list Kate.

Thanks so much!

Alan Mearns and Nicolle Rutherford

Alan J. Mearns, PhD
Senior Staff Scientist
Emergency Response Division
Office of Response and Restoration
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
7600 Sand Point Way NE
Seattle, WA 98115

Subject:

Office: (206) 526-6336
Cell: (206) 719-7442
Email: alan.mearns@noaa.gov

Please see our website at:
<http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/>

The contents of this message are mine personally and do not necessarily reflect any position of NOAA.

"I want the crab count, and I want it NOW!" Capt. Sig Larsen,
Northwestern, Dangerous Catch.

Kate.Clark wrote:
> AKA, the SSDIPECW,
>
> Thanks to everyone for an engaging
> and productive call today. The
> emails of everyone who participated
> are included in this email. Since
> the emails all contain full names
> and agency affiliations, I will skip
> listing them in the text.
>
> Attached, for background only, is a
> Subsurface Injection of Surface
> Oil Dispersant test plan.
>
> Please feel free to cc: the
> workgroup with any additional
> information
> that may be pertinent.
>
> Thank you,
> Kate Clark
> (c) 301-785-7802
>
>

[attachment "Dispersing Oil in
Deepwater Ecosystems.doc" deleted by
Rick Greene/GB/USEPA/US]

----- Forwarded by D= onald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010
09:36 AM -----

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
To: Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R=
Cc: 2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 04:02 PM
Latest Info dump regarding
Subject: response to "BP monitoring
plan"

Subject:

Subject:

Still waiting for final responses from a few folks! Here is what I have for now.

Obviously, final comments will have names removed, better grammar, etc.

..

Response to: "Dispersed Plume Monitoring Plan: Phase 1"

General comments regarding sampling/monitoring plans. Inform BP that typically they include:

1. An Introduction, to include project objective and project staff
2. A brief site description and background
3. A description of the Sampling Approach and Procedures, to encompass:
 - a. a brief overview of sampling activities, which in turn addresses data quality objectives (what is the purpose of these actions? Why are we doing this?) as well as health and safety implementation strategies (frequently, this references another specific document, but must be included).
 - b. the actual sampling and/or monitoring approach, to ensure repeatability and consistent procedures. This is the place to describe the sampling, the monitoring, the sampling and field QC procedures, spoil or waste disposal procedures resulting from this effort, as well as specimen/data handling issues.
 - c. sample management – how will the sample be procured, handled, and delivered
 - d. sample instructions- preservation, containers, and hold times
4. The analytical approach – what lab tests will be run, any special instructions, how will the data be verified, and how will data be reported.
5. Quality Assurance- custody procedures, field records including logs, chain of custody, qualitative data handling including photographs.

Inform BP that the above information need not be excessively laborious; a concise paragraph or two for each issue can suffice.

Specific Issues related to the "Dispersed Oil Plume Monitoring Plan: Phase 1"

1) A fluorometer is desirable to delineate horizontal and vertical extents of the subsurface plume. The assumption is that the fluorometer will be towed in an array below the ocean surface. I would like to have clarification as to whether the fluorometer will be used, and if it is, how it will be implemented (towed behind, towed via aircraft, etc.). I would prefer the fluorometer for plume delineation to using water sample for that purpose; it should provide much richer data. Harry says that the Gulf Strike team has one if needed. Perhaps a bubble turbidity meter can also be towed, to get bubble size?

2) Water column sampling collection

capabilities via a rosette sampler. Harry Allen has proposed a testing regime to determine dispersion effectiveness such as % oil, % water, % dispersant. To prove the model and predict buoyancy we would also need bubble size, density (or specific gravity) along the thermal gradient of the water column, and other Stokes' law factors like kinematic viscosity. Harry and Al are also proposing fluorometric and/or spectrophotometric profiles of the dispersed oil, to be used later during operations and also in phase 2. As I understand, Al Venosa is arranging with Environment Canada to take 3 ml aliquots from each sample for this purpose. Certainly; BP should provide the sample. Although it may not be possible, I would like to ask for more sampling bottles per run. 4 samples is not enough to make statistical analyses. I would like to see desired numbers, or minimum numbers, such as optimal mixing numbers.

3) Conductivity, temperature, and depth measuring equipment
Needed to determine water column stratification and to associate with the samples.

4) Dissolved oxygen and turbidity sensors
DO sensors are needed (and critical) for determining potential negative impacts to undersea environment. Harry is concerned that biodegradation of the submerged oil may create a problem with oxygen depletion. He reminds me that at 3 mg oxygen per mg of oil plus an additional demand from the dispersant, surface reaeration could be unable to keep up with the BOD. Obviously, we do not want to create an anoxic cloud on the bottom of the Gulf, even more so since there is already an anoxic zone in the Gulf. Turbidity sensors can also be used to determine dispersant efficacy.

5) Current-profiling equipment
The ADCP units can determine current in the Gulf. Perhaps it can also be used to determine if the dispersant changes the flow once applied (i.e., slows or redirects the oil flow once entrained). That would affect any future modeling.

Additional issues:

a) Don Williams has highlighted the clear lack of Data Quality Objectives. There is no indication of what they are looking for in the samples, what numbers or qualitative information is expected, or if there are any "triggers" for future action or stand-down. For instance, we are waiting for sampling data from the previous test; there is no indication what is being sampled from that test except total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs).

Obviously we will need much more than TPH data in the future.

b) Harry feels that for Phase 2, EPA will need a more thorough oil analysis to determine whether the dispersed plume is toxic to aquatic life. There are questions about whether the plume will be more toxic than the undispersed oil. And we

Subject:

Subject:

Subject:

need to know whether it will hang in the water column, to come in contact with the benthos as it approaches land . (Phase 1 may tell us this.)

c) Nick Nichols would like to see some surface efficacy and effects at the surface.

d) Greg has mentioned that there should be an ecosystem impact analysis.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison
Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

----- Forwarded by Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010
09:36 AM -----

From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US
To: Terry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 04:04 PM
Re: Latest Info dump
Subject: regarding response to "BP
monitoring plan"

It is being reviewed now. we will send it shortly.

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development
Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
To: Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,

Cc: Harry Allen/ERT/R=
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 05:02 PM
Subject: Latest Info dump regarding
response to "BP monitoring
plan"

Still waiting for final responses from a few folks! Here is what I have for now. Obviously, final comments will have names removed, better grammar, etc.

.. Response to: "Dispersed Plume Monitoring Plan: Phase 1"

General comments regarding sampling/monitoring plans. Inform BP that typically they include:

1. An Introduction, to include project objective and project staff
2. A brief site description and background
3. A description of the Sampling Approach and Procedures, to encompass:
 - a. a brief overview of sampling activities, which in turn addresses data quality objectives (what is the purpose of these actions? Why are we doing this?) as well as health and safety implementation strategies (frequently, this references another specific document, but must be included).
 - b. the actual sampling and/or monitoring approach, to ensure repeatability and consistent procedures. This is the place to describe the sampling, the monitoring, the sampling and field QC procedures, spoil or waste disposal procedures resulting from this effort, as well as specimen/data handling issues.
 - c. sample management – how will the sample be procured, handled, and delivered
 - d. sample instructions- preservation, containers, and hold times
4. The analytical approach – what lab tests will be run, any special instructions, how will the data be verified, and how will data be reported.
5. Quality Assurance- custody procedures, field records including logs, chain of custody, qualitative data handling including photographs.

Inform BP that the above information need not be excessively laborious; a concise paragraph or two for each issue can suffice.

Specific Issues related to the "Dispersed Oil Plume Monitoring Plan: Phase 1"

- 1) A fluorometer is desirable to delineate horizontal and vertical extents of the

subsurface plume.

The assumption is that the fluorometer will be towed in an array below the ocean surface. I would like to have clarification as to whether the fluorometer will be used, and if it is, how it will be implemented (towed behind, towed via aircraft, etc.). I would prefer the fluorometer for plume delineation to using water sample for that purpose; it should provide much richer data. Harry says that the Gulf Strike team has one if needed.

Perhaps a bubble turbidity meter can also be towed, to get bubble size?

2) Water column sampling collection capabilities via a rosette sampler.

Harry Allen has proposed a testing regime to determine dispersion effectiveness such as % oil, % water, % dispersant. To prove the model and predict buoyancy we would also need bubble size, density (or specific gravity) along the thermal gradient of the water column, and other Stoke's law factors like kinematic viscosity. Harry and Al are also proposing fluorometric and/or spectrophotometric profiles of the dispersed oil, to be used later during operations and also in phase 2. As I understand, Al Venosa is arranging with Environment Canada to take 3 ml aliquots from each sample for this purpose.

Certainly; BP should provide the sample. Although it may not be possible, I would like to ask for more sampling bottles per run. 4 samples is not enough to make statistical analyses.

I would like to see desired numbers, or minimum numbers, such as optimal mixing numbers.

3) Conductivity, temperature, and depth measuring equipment

Needed to determine water column stratification and to associate with the samples.

4) Dissolved oxygen and turbidity sensors
DO sensors are needed (and critical) for determining potential negative impacts to undersea environment. Harry is concerned that biodegradation of the submerged oil may create a problem with oxygen depletion. He reminds me that at 3 mg oxygen per mg of oil plus an additional demand from the dispersant, surface reaeration could be unable to keep up with the BOD. Obviously, we do not want to create an anoxic cloud on the bottom of the Gulf, even more so since there is already an anoxic zone in the Gulf. Turbidity sensors can also be used to determine dispersant efficacy.

5) Current-profiling equipment

The ADCP units can determine current in the Gulf. Perhaps it can also be used to determine if the dispersant changes the flow once applied (i.e., slows or redirects the oil flow once entrained). That would affect any future modeling.

Additional issues:

a) Don Williams has highlighted the clear lack of Data Quality Objectives. There is no indication of what they are looking for

in the samples, what numbers or qualitative information is expected, or if there are any "triggers" for future action or stand-down. For instance, we are waiting for sampling data from the previous test; there is no indication what is being sampled from that test except total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs).

Obviously we will need much more than TPH data in the future.

b) Harry feels that for Phase 2, EPA will need a more thorough oil analysis to determine whether the dispersed plume is toxic to aquatic life. There is questions about whether the plume will be more toxic than the undispersed oil. And we need to know whether it will hang in the water column, to come in contact with the benthos as it approaches land . (Phase 1 may tell us this.)

c) Nick Nichols would like to see some surface efficacy and effects at the surface.

d) Greg has mentioned that there should be an ecosystem impact analysis.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison
Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

----- Forwarded by Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010
09:36 AM -----

From: Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US
To: Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry
Allen/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA,
James Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon
Cc: Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen
McCormick/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mark Hansen/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Terry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 05:03 PM
Re: Latest Info dump regarding
Subject: response to "BP monitoring
plan"

Great and thanks for your help.

Craig Carroll
Chief, Emergency Readiness Section
EPA Region 6

Ph: 214-665-2220
Fax: 214-665-9718

From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US
To: Terry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R=
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Cc: Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 04:04 PM
Re: Latest Info dump
Subject: regarding respo= nse to "BP
monitoring plan"

It is being reviewed now. we will send it shortly.

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development
Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
To: Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R=
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Cc: Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 05:02 PM
Latest Info dump regarding
Subject: response to "BP monitoring
plan"

Still waiting for final responses from a few folks! Here is what I have for now.

Obviously, final comments will have names removed, better grammar, etc.

Response to: "Dispersed Plume Monitoring Plan: Phase 1"

General comments regarding sampling/monitoring plans. Inform BP that typically they include:

1. An Introduction, to include project objective and project staff
2. A brief site description and background

3. A description of the Sampling Approach and Procedures, to encompass:

- a. a brief overview of sampling activities, which in turn addresses data quality objectives (what is the purpose of these actions? Why are we doing this?) as well as health and safety implementation strategies (frequently, this references another specific document, but must be included).

- b. the actual sampling and/or monitoring approach, to ensure repeatability and consistent procedures.

This is the place to describe the sampling, the monitoring, the sampling and field QC procedures, spoil or waste disposal procedures resulting from this effort, as well as specimen/data handling issues.

- c. sample management – how will the sample be procured, handled, and delivered

- d. sample instructions- preservation, containers, and hold times

4. The analytical approach – what lab tests will be run, any special instructions, how will the data be verified, and how will data be reported.

5. Quality Assurance- custody procedures, field records including logs, chain of custody, qualitative data handling including photographs.

Inform BP that the above information need not be excessively laborious; a concise paragraph or two for each issue can suffice.

Specific Issues related to the "Dispersed Oil Plume Monitoring Plan: Phase 1"

- 1) A fluorometer is desirable to delineate horizontal and vertical extents of the subsurface plume.

The assumption is that the fluorometer will be towed in an array below the ocean surface. I would like to have clarification as to whether the fluorometer will be used, and if it is, how it will be implemented (towed behind, towed via aircraft, etc.). I would prefer the fluorometer for plume delineation to using water sample for that purpose; it should provide much richer data. Harry says that the Gulf Strike team has one if needed.

Perhaps a bubble turbidity meter can also be towed, to get bubble size?

- 2) Water column sampling collection capabilities via a rosette sampler. Harry Allen has proposed a testing regime to determine dispersion effectiveness such

as % oil, % water, % dispersant. To prove the model and predict buoyancy we would also need bubble size, density (or specific gravity) along the thermal gradient of the water column, and other Stokes' law factors like kinematic viscosity. Harry and Al are also proposing fluorometric and/or spectrophotometric profiles of the dispersed oil, to be used later during operations and also in phase 2. As I understand, Al Venosa is arranging with Environment Canada to take 3 ml aliquots from each sample for this purpose.

Certainly; BP should provide the sample. Although it may not be possible, I would like to ask for more sampling bottles per run. 4 samples is not enough to make statistical analyses.

I would like to see desired numbers, or minimum numbers, such as optimal mixing numbers.

3) Conductivity, temperature, and depth measuring equipment
Needed to determine water column stratification and to associate with the samples.

4) Dissolved oxygen and turbidity sensors
DO sensors are needed (and critical) for determining potential negative impacts to undersea environment. Harry is concerned that biodegradation of the submerged oil may create a problem with oxygen depletion. He reminds me that at 3 mg oxygen per mg of oil plus an additional demand from the dispersant, surface reaeration could be unable to keep up with the BOD. Obviously, we do not want to create an anoxic cloud on the bottom of the Gulf, even more so since there is already an anoxic zone in the Gulf. Turbidity sensors can also be used to determine dispersant efficacy.

5) Current-profiling equipment
The ADCP units can determine current in the Gulf. Perhaps it can also be used to determine if the dispersant changes the flow once applied (i.e., slows or redirects the oil flow once entrained). That would affect any future modeling.

Additional issues:

a) Don Williams has highlighted the clear lack of Data Quality Objectives. There is no indication of what they are looking for in the samples, what numbers or qualitative information is expected, or if there are any "triggers" for future action or stand-down. For instance, we are waiting for sampling data from the previous test; there is no indication what is being sampled from that test except total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs).

Obviously we will need much more than TPH data in the future.

b) Harry feels that for Phase 2, EPA will need a more thorough oil analysis to determine whether the dispersed plume is toxic to aquatic life. There are questions about whether the plume will be more toxic than the undispersed oil. And we need to know whether it will hang in the water column, to come in contact with the benthos as it approaches land. (Phase 1

Subject: may tell us this.)
c) Nick Nichols would like to see some surface efficacy and effects at the surface.
d) Greg has mentioned that there should be an ecosystem impact analysis.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison
Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

Subject: ----- Forwarded by Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----
From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US
To: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
James Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: Jon Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Dana Tulis/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 05:10 PM
Re: Monitoring Plan for
Subject: Subsea Injection of Surface Oil dispersant

Our comments. Can you please verify this is the BP monitoring plan, and not the unified Area Command plan? We are hearing there is a BP monitoring plan out there.

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development
Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
Albert

Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory Wilson/DC=
/USEPA/US@EPA, Harry
To: Allen/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA,
James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCorm=
ick/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 10:54 AM
Subject: Monitoring Plan for Subsea
Injection of Surface Oil
dispersant

New BP Monitoring Plan. Again, I will propose to Craig that we respond to this Plan, as opposed to his original request to draft a separate document. I will be in touch.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison
Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139

----- Forwarded by T= erry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US on 05/03/2010
09:49 AM -----

**Fw: New
Deadline -
0= 900 -
EPA
Request
for
Ecosystem
Monitoring
Plan for
Subsea
Injection
of Surface
Oil
dispersan**

Craig to: John 05/03/2010
Carroll to: Martin 09:20 AM

Paige
Delgado,
Richard
=
Mayer,
Cc: Terry
Burton,
Susan
Webster,
James
Staves

FYI

Craig Carroll
Chief, Emergency Readiness Section
EPA Region 6
Ph: 214-665-2220
Fax: 214-665-9718
----- Forwarded by C= raig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US on 05/03/2010 09:19
AM -----

From: "Kate.Clark" <Kate.Clark@noaa.gov>
To: Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
"DeWitt, Cynthia S" <de= wittcs@bp.com>,
Steve.Lehmann@noaa.gov,
anthony.parkin@alyeska-
pipeline.com,
g.coelho@ecosy= stem-
management.net, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Charlie Henry
<Charlie.Henry@noaa.gov>,
Cc: james.e.hanzalik@uscg.mil,
william.w.goetzee@uscg.mil,
pasquale.roschino@mm= s.gov, William Conner
<William.Conner@noaa.gov>,
Ed Levine <Ed.Levine@noa= a.gov>,
Rob Ricker
<Rob.Ricker@noaa.gov>,
"Michele A. Finn" <Mic= hele.A.Finn@noaa.gov>
Date: 05/03/2010 09:03 AM
Re: New Deadline - 0900 -
EPA Request for Ecosystem
Subject: Monitoring Plan for Subsea
Injection of Surface Oil
dispersant=

Mr. Carroll - Attached, please find the Area Command Environmental Unit's draft Dispersed Plume Monitoring Plan: Phase I. We look forward to your review and comment.

Regards,
Kate Clark

> */*/
>
> -----
> -----
> *From:* Carroll.Craig@epamail.epa.gov
>
> [mailto:Carroll.Craig@epamail.epa.gov]
> *Sent:* Monday, May 03, 2010 12:16
AM
> *To:* DeWitt, Cynthia S
> *Cc:* Staves.James@epamail.epa.gov;
charlie.henry@noaa.gov;
> James.E.Hanzalik@uscg.mil;
William.W.Goetzee@uscg.mil; Craig
Carroll > *Subject:* Re: Sampling Plan
for Subsea Injection of Surface Oil
> dispersant: Test 2
> *Importance:* High
>
> Cynthia,
>
> I would like to see the plan BP has

for monitoring ecosystem effects
> of sub-sea dispersant application in
the event this test indicates
> sub-surface application is a viable
option. I would like to have the
> plan by 0900 hrs tomorrow CDT in
order to facilitate timely review by
> all appropriate EPA parties. Jim
Staves is in Robert, LA and will be
> the EPA on-site lead to coordinate
with you on this matter. Plea= se
> call him tomorrow morning at 214-
789-3417. Thanks

>
> Craig Carroll
> Chief, Emergency Readiness Section
> EPA Region 6
> Ph: 214-665-2220
> Fax: 214-665-9718
>

> From: "DeWitt,
Cynthia S" <dewittcs@bp.com>
> To: Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
> Date: 05/02/2010
12:12 PM
> Subject: Sampling
Plan for Subsea Injection of Surface
Oil
> dispersant: Test 2
>

> -----
----- = --
>

> Mr. Carroll,
>
> As requested.
>
> <<Sample Plan for Subsea dispersant
Test 2 .doc>>

>
> Kindest Regards, */
> Cynthia S. DeWitt/*
> HSSE Manager
> US Cogeneration BU
> BP Products North America
> 700 Louisiana
> 12th Floor, Suite 1220
> Houston, TX 77002
> 713.354.2136 (office)
> 281.731.7018 (cell)
> dewittcs@bp.com
>

> [attachment "Sample Plan for Subsea
dispersant Test 2 .doc" deleted by
> Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US]
>
>

--
Kate Clark
Regional Resource Coordinator
NOAA Assessment and Restoration
Division
28 Tarzwell Drive
Narragansett, RI 02882
v: 401-782-3235
f: 401-782-3201
www.darrp.noaa.gov

[attachment "draft Dispersed Plume
Monitoring Plan=5FPhase I.doc" deleted
by Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US]

----- Forwarded by D= onald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010
09:36 AM -----

From: Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US
Gregory
To: Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Dana
Tulis/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R2/=
USEPA/US@EPA, James
Cc: Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,

Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Terry Burton/R6=
/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/03/2010 05:18 PM

Re: Monitoring Plan for

Subject: Subsea Injection of Surface
Oil dispersant

Thanks Greg for your responsiveness.
Terry let's set up a conference call right
now with Jim and Greg (and whomever
else can make it) to clear this question up.
From my perspective the key today is to
submit today what we want BP to do
regardless of who's plan it is.

Craig Carroll
Chief, Emergency Readiness Section
EPA Region 6
Ph: 214-665-2220
Fax: 214-665-9718

From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US

To: Terry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R=
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,

Cc: Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Dana Tulis/DC/U=
SEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/03/2010 05:10 PM

Re: Monitoring Plan for

Subject: Subsea Injection of Surface
Oil dispersant

Our comments. Can you please verify
this is the BP monitoring plan, and not the
unified Area Command plan? We are
hearing there is a BP monitoring plan out
there.

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development

Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory Wilson/DC=
/USEPA/US@EPA, Harry
To: Allen/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA,
James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCorm=
ick/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 10:54 AM
Subject: Monitoring Plan for Subsea
Injection of Surface Oil
dispersant

New BP Monitoring Plan. Again, I will propose to Craig that we respond to this Plan, as opposed to his original request to draft a separate document. I will be in touch.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison
Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139
----- Forwarded by T= erry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US on 05/03/2010
09:49 AM -----

**Fw: New
Deadline -
0= 900 -
EPA
Request
for
Ecosystem
Monitoring
Plan for
Subsea
Injection
of Surface
Oil
dispersan**

Craig to: John 05/03/2010
Carroll to: Martin 09:20 AM

Paige
Delgado,
Richard

=
Mayer,
Cc: Terry
Burton,
Susan
Webster,
James
Staves

FYI

Craig Carroll
Chief, Emergency Readiness Section
EPA Region 6
Ph: 214-665-2220
Fax: 214-665-9718
----- Forwarded by C= raig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US on 05/03/2010 09:19
AM -----

From: "Kate.Clark" <Kate.Clar=
k@noaa.gov>
To: Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
"DeWitt, Cynthia S" <de=
wittcs@bp.com>,
Steve.Lehmann@noaa.gov,
anthony.parkin@alyeska-
pipeline.com,
g.coelho@ecosy= stem-
management.net, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Charlie Henry
<Charlie.Henry@noaa.gov>,
Cc: james.e.hanzalik@uscg.mil,
william.w.goetzee@uscg.mil,
pasquale.roscigno@mm=
s.gov, William Conner
<William.Conner@noaa.gov>,
Ed Levine <Ed.Levine@noa=
a.gov>, Rob Ricker
<Rob.Ricker@noaa.gov>,
"Michele A. Finn" <Mic=
hele.A.Finn@noaa.gov>
Date: 05/03/2010 09:03 AM
Re: New Deadline - 0900 -
EPA Request for Ecosystem
Subject: Monitoring Plan for Subsea
Injection of Surface Oil
dispersan=

Mr. Carroll - Attached, please find
the Area Command Environmental
Unit's draft Dispersed Plume
Monitoring Plan: Phase I. We look
forward
to your review and comment.

Regards,
Kate Clark

> **/**/
>
> -----

> *From:* Carroll.Craig@epamail.epa.gov
->
[mailto:Carroll.Craig@epamail.epa.gov]

> *Sent:* Monday, May 03, 2010 12:16 AM
> *To:* DeWitt, Cynthia S
> *Cc:* Staves.James@epamail.epa.gov; charlie.henry@noaa.gov; James.E.Hanzalik@uscg.mil; William.W.Goetzee@uscg.mil; Craig Carroll > *Subject:* Re: Sampling Plan for Subsea Injection of Surface Oil
> dispersant: Test 2
> *Importance:* High
>
> Cynthia,
>
> I would like to see the plan BP has for monitoring ecosystem effects
> of sub-sea dispersant application in the event this test indicates
> sub-surface application is a viable option. I would like to have the
> plan by 0900 hrs tomorrow CDT in order to facilitate timely review by
> all appropriate EPA parties. Jim Staves is in Robert, LA and will be
> the EPA on-site lead to coordinate with you on this matter. Please
> call him tomorrow morning at 214-789-3417. Thanks
>
> Craig Carroll
> Chief, Emergency Readiness Section
> EPA Region 6
> Ph: 214-665-2220
> Fax: 214-665-9718
>
> From: "DeWitt, Cynthia S" <dewittcs@bp.com>
> To: Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
> Date: 05/02/2010 12:12 PM
> Subject: Sampling Plan for Subsea Injection of Surface Oil
> dispersant: Test 2
>
> -----
> -----
>
>
> Mr. Carroll,
>
> As requested.
>
> <<Sample Plan for Subsea dispersant Test 2 .doc>>
>
> Kindest Regards, */
> Cynthia S. DeWitt/*
> HSSE Manager
> US Cogeneration BU
> BP Products North America
> 700 Louisiana
> 12th Floor, Suite 1220
> Houston, TX 77002
> 713.354.2136 (office)
> 281.731.7018 (cell)
> dewittcs@bp.com
>
> [attachment "Sample Plan for Subsea dispersant Test 2 .doc" deleted by
> Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US]
>
>
>
--
Kate Clark
Regional Resource Coordinator
NOAA Assessment and Restoration
Division
28 Tarzwell Drive
Narragansett, RI 02882
v: 401-782-3235
f: 401-782-3201
www.darrp.noaa.gov

[attachment "draft Dispersed Plume Monitoring Plan=5FPhase I.doc" deleted by Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US]

----- Forwarded by D= onald Williams/R6/USEPA/US on 05/08/2010 09:36 AM -----
From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US

To: Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Dana
Tulis/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R2/=
USEPA/US@EPA, James
Cc: Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Terry Burton/R6=
/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 05:21 PM
Re: Monitoring Plan for
Subject: Subsea Injection of Surface
Oil dispersant

sounds good

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development
Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US
To: Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Dana
Tulis/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R2/=
USEPA/US@EPA, James
Cc: Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Terry Burton/R6=
/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 06:18 PM
Re: Monitoring Plan for
Subject: Subsea Injection of Surface
Oil dispersant

Thanks Greg for your responsiveness.
Terry let's set up a conference call right
now with Jim and Greg (and whomever

else can make it) to clear this question up.
From my perspective the key today is to
submit today what we want BP to do
regardle= ss of who's plan it is.

Craig Carroll
Chief, Emergency Readiness Section
EPA Region 6
Ph: 214-665-2220
Fax: 214-665-9718

From: Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US
To: Terry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Harry Allen/ERT/R=
2/USEPA/US@EPA, James
Cc: Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick=
/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Dana Tulis/DC/U=
SEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 05:10 PM
Re: Monitoring Plan for
Subject: Subsea Injec= tion of Surface
Oil dispersant

Our comments. Can you please v= erify
this is the BP monitoring plan, and not the
unified Area Command plan? We are
hearing there is a BP monitoring plan out
there.

[attachment "Draft Dispersed Pl= ume
Monitoring Plan.doc" deleted by Gregory
Wilson/DC/USEPA/US]

Gregory Wilson, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Emergency Management (OEM)
Regulation and Policy Development
Division
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (5104A)
Washington, DC 20460
tel: (202) 564-7989
fax: (202) 564-2625

From: Terry Burton/R6/USEPA/US
Albert
Venosa/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,
Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Donald
Williams/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Gregory Wilson/DC=
/USEPA/US@EPA, Harry
To: Allen/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA,

James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Karen McCormick/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Richard
Mayer/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Jon
Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Mark
Hansen/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/03/2010 10:54 AM
Subject: Monitoring Plan for Subsea
Injection of Surface Oil
dispersant

New BP Monitoring Plan. Again, I will propose to Craig that we respond to this Plan, as opposed to his original request to draft a separate document. I will be in touch.

Terry Burton
Senior Environmental Scientist
Superfund and Technology Liaison
Program
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Burton.Terry@epa.gov
(214) 665-7139
----- Forwarded by Terry
Burton/R6/USEPA/US on 05/03/2010
09:49 AM -----

**Fw: New
Deadline -
05/03/2010 -
EPA
Request
for
Ecosystem
Monitoring
Plan for
Subsea
Injection
of Surface
Oil
dispersant**

Craig Carroll to: John 05/03/2010
Martin 09:20 AM

Paige
Delgado,
Richard
=
Mayer,
Cc: Terry
Burton,
Susan
Webster,
James
Staves

FYI

Craig Carroll

Chief, Emergency Readiness Section
EPA Region 6
Ph: 214-665-2220
Fax: 214-665-9718
----- Forwarded by C= raig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US on 05/03/2010 09:19
AM -----

From: "Kate.Clark" <Kate.Clar=
k@noaa.gov>
To: Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
"DeWitt, Cynthia S" <de=
wittcs@bp.com>,
Steve.Lehmann@noaa.gov,
anthony.parkin@alyska-
pipeline.com,
g.coelho@ecosy= stem-
management.net, James
Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA,
Charlie Henry
<Charlie.Henry@noaa.gov>,
Cc: james.e.hanzalik@uscg.mil,
william.w.goetzee@uscg.mil,
pasquale.roschino@mm=
s.gov, William Conner
<William.Conner@noaa.gov>,
Ed Levine <Ed.Levine@noa=
a.gov>, Rob Ricker
<Rob.Ricker@noaa.gov>,
"Michele A. Finn" <Mic=
hele.A.Finn@noaa.gov>
Date: 05/03/2010 09:03 AM
Re: New Deadline - 0900 -
EPA Request for Ecosystem
Subject: Monitoring Plan for Subsea
Injection of Surface Oil
dispersan=

Mr. Carroll - Attached, please find
the Area Command Environmental
Unit's draft Dispersed Plume
Monitoring Plan: Phase I. We look
forward
to your review and comment.

Regards,
Kate Clark

> */**//
>
> -----
> -----
> *From:* Carroll.Craig@epamail.epa.gov
>
> [mailto:Carroll.Craig@epamail.epa.gov]
> *Sent:* Monday, May 03, 2010 12:16
AM
> *To:* DeWitt, Cynthia S
> *Cc:* Staves.James@epamail.epa.gov;
charlie.henry@noaa.gov;
> James.E.Hanzalik@uscg.mil;
William.W.Goetzee@uscg.mil; Craig
Carroll > *Subject:* Re: Sampling Plan
for Subsea Injection of Surface Oil
> dispersant: Test 2
> *Importance:* High
>
> Cynthia,
>
> I would like to see the plan BP has
for monitoring ecosystem effects
> of sub-sea dispersant application in
the event this test indicates
> sub-surface application is a viable
option. I would like to have the
> plan by 0900 hrs tomorrow CDT in
order to facilitate timely review by
> all appropriate EPA parties. Jim
Staves is in Robert, LA and will be
> the EPA on-site lead to coordinate
with you on this matter. Plea= se
> call him tomorrow morning at 214-

789-3417. Thanks

>
> Craig Carroll
> Chief, Emergency Readiness Section
> EPA Region 6
> Ph: 214-665-2220
> Fax: 214-665-9718
>
>
> From: "DeWitt,
Cynthia S" <dewittcs@bp.com>
> To: Craig
Carroll/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
> Date: 05/02/2010
12:12 PM
> Subject: Sampling
Plan for Subsea Injection of Surface
Oil
> dispersant: Test 2
>
>
> -----

>
>
> Mr. Carroll,
>
> As requested.
>
> <<Sample Plan for Subsea dispersant
Test 2 .doc>>
>
> Kindest Regards, */
> Cynthia S. DeWitt/*
> HSSE Manager
> US Cogeneration BU
> BP Products North America
> 700 Louisiana
> 12th Floor, Suite 1220
> Houston, TX 77002
> 713.354.2136 (office)
> 281.731.7018 (cell)
> dewittcs@bp.com
>
> [attachment "Sample Plan for Subsea
dispersant Test 2 .doc" deleted by
> Craig Carroll/R6/USEPA/US]
>
>
>
--
Kate Clark
Regional Resource Coordinator
NOAA Assessment and Restoration
Division
28 Tarzwell Drive
Narragansett, RI 02882
v: 401-782-3235
f: 401-782-3201
www.darrrp.noaa.gov

[attachment "draft Dispersed Plume
Monitoring Plan=5FPhase I.doc" deleted
by Gregory Wilson/DC/USEPA/US]